IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE:

WINSTAR,

Chapter 11

COPY

Debtor.

Case No. 01-1430

Tuesday, December 18, 2001 4:00 p.m.
Courtroom 6A

844 King Street Wilmington, Delaware

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE JOSEPH J. FARNAN, JR.

United States District Court Judge

APPEARANCES:

YOUNG, CONAWAY, STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

BY: PAULINE K. MORGAN, ESQ. BY: M. BLAKE CLEARY, ESQ.

and

SHERMAN & STERLY

BY: MARK SHAPIRO, ESQ.

Counsel for the Debtors

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP BY: STEPHEN KAROTKIN, ESQ.

and

KLETT ROONEY

BY: RICHARD S. COBB, ESQ.

Counsel for DIP Lenders

	,	

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	
3	THE BAYARD FIRM BY: NEIL GLASSMAN, ESQ.
4	Counsel for the Creditors Committee
5	
6	McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY BY: DAVID C. ALBALAH, ESQ.
7	BY: MARK M. SELINGER, ESQ. BY: SHIRLEY S. FUJIMOTO, ESQ.
8	BY: CHARLES H.F. GARNER, ESQ.
9	Counsel for IDT Winstar Acquisition, Inc.
10	
11	LEPON, McCARTHY, WHITE & HOLYWORTH BY: WILLIAM WHITE, ESQ.
12	Counsel for Bell South
13	ELZUFON, AUSTIN, REARDON & MONDELL, P.A. BY: WILLIAM D. SULLIVAN, ESQ.
15	and
16	JESSOP & COMPANY, P.C. BY: DOUGLAS W. JESSOP, ESQ.
17	
18	Counsel for Univance Telecommunications
19	MORRIS, JAMES, HITCHENS & WILLIAMS BY: CHUCK N. KUNZ, III, ESQ.
20	,
21	and
22	SILLS, CUMMMIS, RADON, TISCHMAN & GROSS BY: ANDREW SHERMAN, ESQ.
23	Counsel for Qwest Communication
24	Corporation and Qwest Corporation

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	
, 3	SMITH, KATZENSTEIN & FURLOW BY: KATHLEEN MILLER, ESQ.
4	and
5	ARNULL, GOLDEN & GREGORY BY: DARRYL LADDIN, ESQ.
6	
7	Counsel for Operating Subsidiaries of Verizon
8	
9	POTTER, ANDERSON & CORROON, LLP BY: LAURIE SELBER SILVERSTEIN, ESQ.
10	Counsel for Certain
11	Affiliates of SBC
12	ASHBY & GEDDES
13	BY: RICARDO PALACIO, ESQ.
14	and
15	CONNOR & WINTERS BY: ANDREW R. TURNER, ESQ.
16	Counsel for Williams
17	Communications
18	SAUL, EWING, REMICK & SAUL, LLP
19	BY: MARK MINUTI, ESQ.
20	Counsel for Heitman/SV Atlanta
21	SAUL, EWING, REMICK & SAUL, LLP
22	BY: MARIA APRILE SAWCZUK, ESQ.
23	Counsel for Espire Communications, Heitman & SV Atlanta
24	

APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER
BY: REBECCA L. BOOTH, ESQ.
and
JONES DAY BY: MICHELLE MORGAN HARNER, ESQ.
Counsel for Lucent Technologies, Inc.
BIGGS & BATTAGLIA BY: ROBERT GOLDBERG, ESQ.
Counsel for Sprint
Communication Co., LLP
UNITED STATES TRUSTEE'S OFFICE
BY: MARK KENNEY, ESQ.
Counsel for the U.S. Trustee
PEPPER HAMILTON, LLP BY: AARON GARBER, ESQ.
•
Counsel for Cisco System Capital Corp.
ASHBY & GEDDES BY: REGINA A. IORII, ESQ.
Counsel for Fleet Capital Corp.
COZEN S OLGONNOR
COZEN & O'CONNOR BY: SHELLEY KINSELLA, ESQ.
Counsel for Transamerica, et al

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	REED SMITH, LLP
3	BY: JENNIFER KELLEHER, ESQ.
4	Counsel for CIT
5	DOGENITURE MONTH COORDERS
6	ROSENTHAL, MONHAIT, GROSS & GODDESS BY: EDWARD ROSENTHAL, ESQ.
7	Counsel for Advanced Fibre Communications
8	Communicacions
9	REED SMITH, LLP BY: KURT F. GWYNNE, ESQ.
10	Counsel for MCI WorldCom
11	Counsel for MCI Worldcom
12	BLANK, ROME, COMISKY & McCAULEY, LLP BY: DALE R. DUBE, ESQ.
13	Counsel for Velocita
14	Counsel for Verocita
1.5	LOWENSTEIN SANDLER BY: PAUL KIZEL, ESQ.
16	Counsel for AT&T Corp.
17	counsel for Alar Corp.
18	Also Present:
19	Mr. Howard Jonas Mr. Gary Morgan
20	Ms. Carolyn Hunter
21	
22	
23	
24	
1	

```
THE CLERK: All rise.
2
                  THE COURT:
                               Good afternoon.
3
   Mr. Shapiro.
                  MR. SHAPIRO:
4
                                 Good afternoon, Your
5
   Honor. Mark Shapiro from Sherman & Sterling for
   Winstar Communications, Inc.
6
                  Your Honor, we're here for the
 7
8
   continuation from the hearing yesterday scheduled
    to approve an asset sale agreement. As Your Honor
 9
10
    knows, when we appeared yesterday, we did not have
11
   a signed asset sale agreement with any bidder.
                  Just to recount history for one
12
13
    moment, Debtors held an auction at the office of
14
    Sherman & Sterling.
                         The Debtors chose Wintel led
   by Mr. Lawrence Zimmerman as the highest and best
15
16
   offer.
17
                  With the purchase price proposed of
    $85 million in cash and various assumption of
18
19
    certain liabilities.
20
                  Mr. Zimmerman, as part of that
```

Mr. Zimmerman, as part of that

offer, agreed that he would deposit \$15 million in

an escrow account with Sherman & Sterling.

Unfortunately, that did not come to pass. And as

of yesterday morning, Wintel -- Zimmerman had

2.1

```
never deposited any of the funds that were
promised nor had the Debtor ever reached
agreement, even in principal, with Mr. Zimmerman.
```

We adjourned the hearing and had a chambers conference with Your Honor to discuss the possibility to converting this case to Chapter 7 and I guess fortuitously, when we were out in chambers, IDT Corporation met with Arthur Newman and the president from the Blackstone Group to make an offer for purchase of substantially all of the assets of Winstar Communications. That offer was communicated on the record yesterday before Your Honor, which contained salient points, but remain subject to a definitive agreement to be negotiated and signed by the Debtor and by purchaser IDT Corporation or special purpose vehicle to be established by IDT.

At this point, I believe we just finished initialing all the changes and the only thing that remains to be done is to have IDT's representative sign the agreement, which I'm being told is going to happen at this very moment. And since we only have one copy that's actually marked up, I would ask Your Honor if we could sign the

```
agreement right now so we know we have a signed
1
2
   agreement.
3
                  THE COURT:
                               All right.
 4
                  MR. GLASSMAN:
                                   I don't know who
5
    this is.
 6
                  MR. SHAPIRO:
                                This is Mr. Charles
 7
   Garner, executive of IDT.
8
                  MR. GARNER:
                                Am I president of
 9
    the --
10
                  MR. SHAPIRO:
                                  Yes.
                  In addition, I believe we have
11
12
    complete agreement of the management agreement,
13
    which is proposed to be signed not today, but at
14
    the closing which is proposed for tomorrow, but we
    have an agreement of a form of management.
15
16
    don't know if Mr. Selinger could hand that up.
17
                  We also have agreement of a form of
18
    order although I do know that the FCC, I believe
19
    and the Justice Department were negotiating a few
20
    modifications to the order. I don't know if those
21
    were completed, but I suspect if they're not, we
22
    could complete them in very short order.
23
                  What I'd alike to do now, Your
24
    Honor, is as Your Honor knows, under the asset
```

```
purchase agreement, the purchaser has requested
  1
  2
     that the Debtors provide a topping fee, in the
     event that the higher and better offer was
  3
     accepted and approved by this court.
  4
  5
                    The topping fee, which is contained
  6
     in Section 6.10D of the asset purchase agreement
     provides that as a condition to buyers obligations
  7
  8
     here under -- sellers agreed to pay to buyer
  9
     amount equal to two and a half percent of the cash
 10
     payment. Cash payment for the record is $30
     million.
 7 7
                    If this agreement is terminated
 12
 13
     other than as a result of default by the buyer and
     the performance of the obligations hereunder,
 14
     sellers shall enter into one or more sales
 15
 16
     transactions and such transaction or transactions
     should be consummated.
 17
 18
                    Being that, the placing of a fund
     into an escrow fund would not constitute receipt
 19
 20
     by the sellers until the founder actually released
     to the sellers.
 21
                  To summarize, two and a half percent
 22
  of $30 million would be paid to them if a higher
24
   and better offer is received. Obviously, the
```

```
higher and better offer would have to be $30
1
2
   million plus two and a half percent, which is
   roughly a million dollars. And it would only be
3
   paid if that own transaction is not only approved
4
   by Your Honor, but consummated, and if the sale
5
6
   proceeds ultimately reach the Debtors not -- or
7
    just placed into escrow, and that escrow
    ultimately needs to break properly to the
   Debtors.
9
                  So what we would like to do, the
10
   buyer has asked me to request that Your Honor make
11
    a bench ruling before we start this auction so
12
13
    that they know that they have the protection if
    another bidder was ultimately selected, and the
14
    Debtor believes that this is in the best interest
15
    of the estate, that it meets the requirements
16
17
    under O'Brien, and this is a necessary expense of
    the estate.
18
```

As Your Honor knows, yesterday we had no bidders. If we have any bidders today, it's only because IDT came in with a bid late yesterday and worked around the clock, including all night with us to consummate this transaction. And the Debtors believe at this point that it's

19

20

21

22

23

```
1
    appropriate to provide IDT with protection to the
 2
    topping fee.
 3
                  THE COURT:
                               All right. Mr. Kenney.
                  MR. KENNEY: Your Honor, I'm not
 4
 5
    going to oppose in this circumstances because I've
    seen what's going on in this transaction. I think
 6
 7
    that if somebody else does come out of the
    woodwork right now, we probably wouldn't be able
 8
    to attribute to what's taken place up to now.
 9
10
                  THE COURT:
                               All right. Anyone wish
11
    to be heard?
12
                  (Silence.)
13
                               All right. I'll grant
                  THE COURT:
14
    the application.
15
                  Wait.
                         There's some folks coming
16
    forward.
17
                  MR. ROUHANA:
                                  Your Honor, I'm Bill
18
    Rouhana.
              I am an attorney, but I'm not attorney
19
    in this context. I was the chairman and chief
20
    executive of the company as well as the founder of
21
    it, and I am here today to make an alternative
22
    bid. And I thought you ought to know that before
23
    you would approve a breakup fee.
24
                  I can assure you that my interest in
```

```
1
    the company was not created by IDT. So I just
    thought you ought to know that.
 2
                               All right. Thank you.
                  THE COURT:
 3
                  MS. NEWELL:
                                Good afternoon, Your
 4
            Margaret Newell from the Department of
 5
    Justice on behalf of the General Services
 6
    Administration and the Federal Communications
 7
 8
    Commission.
                  The government, the United States
 9
    government is happy that this sale seems to be
10
    providing for not only the transition or to the
11
12
    transition of customers, but also seems to be
    providing for some kind of reasonable
13
    accommodation for the GSA contracts to be -- to
14
15
    allow the Federal Government to continue its work
16
    and not have telephone service disrupted.
17
                  I would state for the record,
18
    though, I have been assured by counsel for the
19
    Debtors that all the documentation addresses
20
    principal concerns including the notice provisions
21
    and the FCC regulations promulgated under
22
    47 U.S.C. Section 214, would be complied with
23
    under this order.
```

Also, that the order provides that

```
any assignment of FCC licenses would be subject to
1
2
    prior approval by the FCC. And also that any
    assumption and assignment of GSA contracts would
3
 4
    be subject to consent and innovation procedure
 5
    according to GSA's federal acquisition
 6
    regulations.
 7
                  I think that that's all provided for
 8
    in here, but I think I did not get a chance to
 9
    read every single word in all these documents.
                                                      So
10
    I just want to state our concerns for the record.
11
    I believe that they have been addressed.
12
                  THE COURT:
                               Does anyone have an
13
    opposition to the breakup fee?
14
                   (Silence.)
15
                               All right.
                  THE COURT;
                                            Then the
16
    application is granted.
17
                  Now, following the agreement.
18
                  MR. SHAPIRO:
                                  Thank you, Your
19
    Honor.
                  What I'd like to do now is turn to
20
21
    the actual agreement that we have and I'd like to
22
    briefly proffer the testimony of Stefan
23
    Feuerabendt, managing of Blackstone Partners.
                                                     Ιf
24
    Your Honor would let me proffer his testimony.
```

1 THE COURT: Yes. MR. SHAPIRO: It will be short. 2 Mr. Feuerabendt is an M.D. of Blackstone, Debtor's 3 4 financial advisor, their expert in financial 5 advisory engagements including Chapter 11, 6 assigned for debtor-in-possession, is intimately 7 familiar with the sale process of Blackstone, has 8 been central to the marketing effort of the Debtors since the summer. 9 10 They contacted over a hundred 11 potential buyers. They put together a sale book, which included a description of all the assets to 12 13 be sold. They oversaw a data room at the Debtors' 14 headquarters. 15 They have met with over a dozen 16 potential buyers and they worked with Debtors' 17 counsel and others throughout this entire process 1.8 to try to secure a purchaser. 19 IDT was one of the participants who 20 participated in the due diligence process that 21 Blackstone had made contact with, Mr. Newman. Mr. 22 Fair Ron main colleague ran the Augusta awe which 23 at Intel's bid was named the highest and best

24

offer.

Since yesterday Mr. Fewer has been involved in all aspects of negotiations with IDT, including working through the night with us to try to get the agreement executed by this morning.

He would testify that this was an arm's length negotiation, that it was done all in good faith. And that under the circumstances of these cases, and at this time, it is the highest and best offer that the Debtors have received for the assets to be sold.

In addition, since the buyer is asking for an extension of the period under 364(d) to assume or reject contracts at the buyers' request, that will provide the buyer with an opportunity to evaluate all the contracts so that they can determine which they would assume or which they would reject. And during that period of time, while they were doing -- making such evaluation, they will be paying, starting on the closing date, which we anticipate will be tomorrow, for all the costs that are incurred from and after the closing date for such contracts.

And so Mr. Feuerabendt would testify that cause exists for an extension of that section

```
and to allow them lateral, allow IDT what the
1
   lease, subject to their continuing to pay for all
   the costs associated there with from and after the
3
   closing date.
5
                  That would be Mr. Feuerabendt's
 6
   proffer in support of the sale.
 7
                  MR. TURNER:
                                Good afternoon, Your
   Honor. Andrew Turner appearing for Williams
 8
   Communications.
9
                  Your Honor, Williams Communications
10
11
    is a supplier to Winstar pursuant to this Court's
12
   order of August 22nd approving an interim
13
    agreement, which provided for certain payment
14
   considerations and the right of Williams
15
    terminated upon five days written notice.
                                                The
16
   Debtor defaulted. Williams gave its notice to
17
   terminate.
18
                  Your Honor entered a temporary
19
    restraining order last Monday restraining us from
20
    taking any further actions in response to
    terminate as a result of Debtors' failure to pass
21
22
   over $6 million.
23
                  We have great concerns about the
24
   sale going forward, Your Honor, particularly the
```

```
fact that apparently the testimony will be that
1
   the buyer will pay the costs for performance from
   tomorrow through the closing date for vendors,
3
   which we assume would include Williams
5
   Communications, however we don't know who the
6
   buyer is.
7
                  We have not had an opportunity to
   evaluate the credit of the buyer and we believe
8
9
   that it's inappropriate for this Court to require
10
   us by approving this sale to continue to extend
   credit to a buyer to be named shortly, whose
11
12
   financials we have never had an opportunity to see
   whether we wish to extend credit.
13
                  Our extension of credit runs
14
    $1 million per week, and if it's somebody we don't
15
16
   want to do business with, we don't want to be
17
   restrained. And apparently the last version of
   the order I saw contains a preliminary injunction
18
19
    requiring that Williams and other supplies
20
    continue to provide services, and we're not
   allowed to terminate.
21
22
                  So we have an objection both to the
23
   process as well as to -- we appreciate the
24
   opportunity to inquire of the witness of
```

```
Blackstone about who this buyer is and what
1
2
   assurances there are that vendors will be paid.
                  THE COURT:
3
                               We can put the witness
4
   on the stand and you can examine him.
5
                  MR. JONAS:
                               Me?
                  THE COURT: No, the Blackstone
6
 7
   witness.
                  THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.
8
 9
                          STEFAN FEUERABENDT,
10
                  the deponent herein, having first
11
12
                  been duly sworn on oath, was
                  examined and testified as follows:
13
                  THE CLERK: Could you state and
14
15
    spell your name for the record?
                                  Stefan Feuerabendt,
16
                  THE WITNESS:
17
    S-T-E-F-A-N F-E-U-E-R-A-B-E-N-D-T.
    BY MR. TURNER:
18
19
             Sir, could you tell us where you're
20
    employed and what your capacity has been in
    connection with the sale process here?
21
22
             I'm a managing director at the Blackstone
23
    Group, an investment banking firm in New York
24
           I have been involved in the sale process
    City.
```

since, approximately, mid-August, including
talking to most of the potential investors and
directly being involved with all those that
performed due diligence.

- Q. I understand, sir, that it's your testimony that the buyer would be paying the costs for suppliers to the Debtor from and after the closing date; is that correct?
- A. That's my understanding.

- Q. Okay. Have you done any examination of the financial statements or other ability to pay of the buyer?
 - A. The acquirer is a newly formed entity which will be funded, it's my understanding, and also their counsel has received \$30 million, which will be escrowed immediately to the acquirer. And that an additional 30 million, as we talked about in this court, is in the process of being wired to their counsel, also for purposes of being put into escrow.

That 30 million is allocated solely for purposes of continuing to operate the business, including paying vendors.

Q. Okay. And has the buyer, in the

```
agreement that you have negotiated or been
1
    involved in negotiating, has the buyer made an
2
   agreement to take all costs for the vendors,
3
    including any of the carriers who may continue any
4
    type of telecommunications or other source to the
 5
 6
    entity after the purchase?
 7
        Α.
             That's my understanding until they decide
 8
    to either assume or reject the contract or
    terminate the service, in which case they would no
 9
10
    longer be obligated.
11
          Is the buyer required to prepay those
```

- 12 services?
- 13 Α. My understanding is they have agreed to 14 prepay for services.
- 15 Okay. Are they going to post any Q. deposits with suppliers? 16
- 17 Α. I don't know the answer to that 18 question.
- 19 Q. The terms of the prepayment, what are 20 they?
- 21 I believe a week in advance. Α.
- 22 So on the date of closing, they're going Q. 23 to pay all the suppliers a week in advance?
- 24 Α. That's my understanding of the deal. I'm

3

4

5

6

16

17

1.8

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

not sure it's documented that way, but that's my understanding of the deal.

- Q. Okay. So in that process, has any examination been made of the run rate, for example, for bills run up by Williams

 Communications in providing goods or services?
- 7 There are forecasts that -- the company Α. has forecasts that the company has made. 8 I've 9 seen a variety of different forecasts under a range of cash burns that the company has in those 10 forecasts ranging from anywhere from five million 11 to \$10 million at the other side, depending on 12 which buyer it was and what assumptions were made 13 with respect to services that they would continue 14 15 to take.

My understanding is that it would run, approximately, \$8 million a month with respect to Winstar in particular. I'm not sure what the cash obligations are as I recall.

- Q. What would be the \$8 million a month, what would that be for?
- A. It would be for if the company were retained, as with all the customers, and continued to operate, they would be paying for SG & A

employee-related expenses, telelocator charges,
basically all the operating costs of the company.

- Q. Would that include, then, the payments due to Williams Communications?
 - A. It's my understanding that it does.
- Q. So the run rate for Williams has been, roughly, four and a half million a month and you say the figures available to you show that from tomorrow forward, the cost is going to be eight million?
- A. Yes. I don't -- that's correct. I don't recall the specific amount allocated to Williams
 in that number.
- Q. Okay. Have you seen any financial statements for the buyer effective as of the closing of the transaction?
- 17 A. I have not.
- MR. JONAS: Your Honor, could I
- 19 | speak?

3

5

6

7

8

- 20 THE COURT: In a moment. You'll
- 21 | have an opportunity.
- 22 BY MR. TURNER:
- Q. Are any of the assets of the buyer
- 24 | encumbered by any lenders to the buyer?

A. My understanding is there are no lenders, so the answer is no.

- Q. So the 68 million is going to come in, that's going to be free and clear of all liens, claims and encumbrances?
 - A. That is my understanding.

3

5

6

17

18

19

2.0

21

- Q. Are there any guarantees to be afforded
 by any entity associated with the buyer to assure
 vendors that the protection for payment of their
 bills --
- 11 A. There is -- no, I am sorry. Can you 12 repeat the question, please?
- Q. Sure. Are there any guarantees to be offered by affiliates of the buyer to assure vendors that their bills will get paid from the closing forward?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
 - Q. So then it's my understanding, see if I've got this right, that the buyer takes over tomorrow, and will run the business until it decides to assume or reject contracts and will prepay in advance for all its vendors?
- A. That's my understanding. Again, I don't know that that prepayment is documented, but

that's my understanding of what their intent is. 2 Ο. Can you tell me how much Williams Communications will receive tomorrow? 3 Α. I cannot. MR. TURNER: Thank you. 5 THE COURT: Is there any other 6 7 objectors who have questions of the witness? MS. SAWCZUK: Your Honor, Marie 8 9 Sawczuk. I'm here not only --10 THE COURT: Could you turn that microphone on, please. 11

MS. SAWCZUK: Marie Sawczuk from 12 13 Saul Ewing. I am here not only on Espire Communications, but also on behalf of two 14 15 landlords, SV Atlanta Peachtree and Heitman 16 Capital Management.

17 I have just one question for the 18 witness.

19 BY MS. SAWCZUK:

20

21

22

23

24

1

Sir, you had said that the eight million a month included employee expenses, telelocator charges, and you said something that I couldn't -that wasn't audible to me. What other things are included in that \$8 million a month number?

```
1
        Α.
             I think my characterization was broad
2
    enough so that it captured essentially all costs
3
    of the Debtor. Let me clarify.
                  The eight million a month is a
    projection that the company, the Debtor has
5
6
    prepared. It's not a projection in the forecast
 7
    that the buyer has prepared.
 8
                  They may operate the business in a
 9
    very different fashion from what the intent of the
10
    company of the Debtors were, so that burn rate may
11
    be eight million, may be less than eight million.
12
    I doubt if it's going to be higher.
13
             Let me be specific then: Did the eight
        ο.
14
    million a month include payments to landlords?
15
             I believe it did.
        Α.
16
                  MS. SAWCZUK:
                                  Thank you.
17
                  THE COURT:
                               Any other objectors who
18
    want to examine the witness?
19
                  MR. JESSOP:
                                 Douglas Jessop on
20
    behalf of Univance Telecommunications.
    BY MR. JESSOP:
21
22
             Was THE $8 million a net burn?
        Q.
23
             Yes.
        Α.
24
             That was a net burn.
        Ο.
```

So we're really talking 22 million then a month, approximately, or what's the gross revenues?

- A. Yeah. The run rate in the projections is, approximately, 19 or \$20 million. So in negative net, the 8 burn wouldn't apply. So around 28 million per month.
- Q. Okay. So that explains some of the confusion about who's getting how much out of this deal?
- 11 A. Right.

5

- Q. Next, I heard your testimony to be that
 they would pay all expenses. They are not going
 to pay for non-utilized expenses; is that correct?
- 15 A. I don't believe so. If that service is
 16 not being provided, I don't believe they're going
 17 to pay for it.
- Q. Well, a lot of carriers provide capacity, don't they?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And so when they say they're not going to pay for stuff they don't use or utilize, what does that mean?
- 24 A. You know, I don't feel frankly qualified

to answer what the debt -- the buyer's intend with 1 2 respect to what they're going to pay is, whether 3 they're going to pay for something that they're not utilizing or not. 4

My understanding is that they will pay for amounts owing as a result of contractual obligations of the Debtor until they've decided to assume, reject or assume that contract.

- Okay. You were part of the negotiation ο. of the order as well that's part of this deal?
- I reviewed the order, yes. 11 Α.
- 12 0. There's a paragraph, Paragraph 23 that has quite a few provisions that relate to carriers 13 and the continuation of business. Are you aware 14 15 of that paragraph?
- 16 I would be more -- I am aware of the paragraph, but I don't recall the exact contention 18 of the paragraph.
- 19 Ο. It asks the Court to order all of the carriers to continue to do business with the 20 21 Debtor and the manager?
 - Α. Right.

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

22

23 It orders them to continue to provide services regardless of and to negotiate new 24

1 matters without any nonrecurring charges, that
2 kind of thing?

A. Mm-hmm.

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

21

- Q. Was that essential to the deal?
- A. Absolutely because without the providers providing services to the company or having an obligation to do so, the entire network could go down.
- Q. Is it intended that the entire, not the entire -- how much of the network is going to be retained?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Do you know if they're going to continue
 to operate into the future or is this just a slice
 and dice?
- A. I don't know what their intention is. I know they have an obligation to continue to keep the network up until a cutoff date which would provide enough time for customers to be transitioned off of the system.
 - That's their obligation. When they want to determine that is, frankly, their choice.
- Q. So at this point it's fairly open ended.
 We don't really know what will happen going

forward, how long of a time period that will take; is that correct? 2 Α. I don't know that sitting here today. 3 4 MR. JESSOP: Thank you. BY MR. LADDIN: 5 Good afternoon, I'm Darryl Laddin. 6 7 represent the operating subsidiaries of Verizon Communications. 8 9 Did you prepare a budget for the 10 ongoing operations of the company after the closing date? 11 12 Α. No. So sitting here now, do you know what the 13 14 expected and projected revenues are and expenses? 15 Α. I do not. So sitting here today, you can't tell us 16 17 whether it's going to be enough money in the 18 escrow account to fund the cost of operating the 19 Debtor over the next 30 to 60 days; is that right? 20 I can't tell you with any certainty. 21 don't think anyone could. I think that based on the company's, 22 23 the Debtors' historical operating cash burn, if 24 they continue to operate the system as is, it will

be, as I said, something on the order of eight
million negative cash flow a month, assuming that
there hasn't been a negative impact as a result of
these proceedings over the last couple weeks with

Q. But you haven't prepared a budget; correct?

respect to customers falling off the system.

A. That's correct.

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

- Q. And there's no -- you really don't have any basis other than speculation to conclude that there would be sufficient funds; correct?
- 12 A. Other than what I just told you.
 - Q. And if there are insufficient funds in the escrow account to fund all of the expenses post closing, is it your understanding that IDT would or would not fund the difference?
 - A. IDT meaning?
- 18 Q. The purchaser.
- 19 A. The whole company or the acquirer?
- Q. Whoever the buyer is. Let me rephrase the question.
- A. My understanding is the buyer has an obligation to do that.
- Q. The buyer has an obligation to fund any

1 expenses that exceed the amounts that are in the escrow?

> Α. That's my understanding, yes.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- And have you seen -- have you Ο. differentiated between IDT, the public company, 6 and the buyer?
 - Because there was a newly formed Α. corporation that is the actual acquirer of assets, which is not IDT, the company. I believe it's going to be a 95 percent owned company or subsidiary of IDT, the public company.
 - And you haven't seen any financial Q. statements of that buyer, have you?
 - I don't believe there are any. Α.
 - Ο. And currently you don't know what the capitalization of that company is, do you?
 - My understanding is -- well, I don't know Α. that the funds that have gone from the buyer's counsel have been injected into the new company as of this date. In fact, I would think that they haven't been, because I think they have to go to -- actually, no, they don't have to go into escrow.

The 30 million I don't know that

1 | that's been used to capitalize.

Q. Do you have any idea what the run rate is for Verizon during the next 30 days?

- A. I don't understand the question.
- Q. Do you know how much in expenses the Debtor is going to incur charges from Verizon over a 30-day period?
- 8 A. No, I do not.

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

- Q. And you don't have any idea in your \$8 million burn run rate how much of that was Verizon; correct?
- 12 A. I don't know.
- Q. Are you aware of the types of services that Verizon provides?
 - A. I'm not specifically aware of all of the services that Verizon provides. My understanding of what Verizon provides is essentially capacity, local capacity for the company, local telecom.
 - Q. What do you mean by that?
- A. I'm not even -- though I have an
 engineering degree, I'm not an expert in telecom
 engineering. But essentially my understanding is
 that you have lines, wires, circuits, et cetera,
 that the companies, customers, traffic could

1 travel over. And that they utilize your system in
2 having that traffic travel over your circuits and
3 pay for it.

- Q. And are you aware that whatever services Verizon provides to the Debtors are provided pursuant to contracts?
- A. I have heard that. I haven't actually read the contract, but that is my understanding.
- 9 Q. And is it your understanding that the 10 buyer is not seeking an assignment of those 11 contracts?
 - A. It's my understanding that the buyer may seek an assignment of those contracts and has time to decide whether he will do that. I don't know whether he will or not.
 - Q. And if the buyer chooses not to take an assignment of those contracts, is it your understanding then that the buyer would not be entitled to have any of the facilities that Verizon currently provides to Winstar under those contracts?
 - A. I think that's a legal question. I'm not qualified to answer that.
- Q. You don't have any reason to believe, as

. 34

you sit there, that the buyer would be entitled to receive any of the facilities that Verizon provides to Winstar absent an assignment in compliance with Section 365 of the code, do you?

A. No.

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Do you have any idea whether there is any period under the purchase agreement under which Winstar is required -- excuse me -- the buyer is required to make a decision on whether to assume or reject contracts?
 - A. I believe it was 120 days.
- Q. So at the end of the 20 days the buyer has to decide whether it's going to assume or reject contracts?
- 15 A. That's my understanding unless compelled
 16 by the Court to make that decision. I think
 17 that's correct.
- Q. Are you aware that Verizon provides certain telelocation services to Winstar?
- A. I'm not going to disagree with that. I'm

 21 not specifically aware of that.
- Q. Do you know whether there's any equipment located in any of the telelocation facilities?
- 24 A. I believe there is.

Q. And do you know what's going to happen to that equipment under this purchase agreement?

- A. If it's an asset of Winstar, the buyer is going to buy it. It goes with the buyer.
- Q. And is it then going to be removed from Verizon telelocation facilities?
- A. I have no idea.

- Q. Do you know whether the buyer intends to or Winstar intends to comply with interconnection agreements pursuant to which Winstar has obtained a telelocation facility?
 - A. Can you repeat that?
- Q. In removing any equipment from the telelocation facilities, is Winstar, the buyer, going to comply with interconnection agreements governing those telelocation facilities?
- A. I don't believe the Debtor will have any obligation with respect to Verizon contracts after this transaction closes. And I believe until -- again, I think this is -- I think this is a legal question.

Until the buyer assumes or rejects the contract, he will have to fulfill what previously was Debtors' obligations under those

1 contracts for any services or any obligations that
2 occurred after the closing.

- Q. Let me change the subject slightly and turn to the discontinuation of service notices that the buyer is required to provide to customers. Are you familiar with the discontinuation notices?
- A. I haven't actually seen the notices, but I'm familiar with the issue.
- 10 Q. And what is your understanding of what 11 the issue is?
 - A. The issue is that the great lawyers want a certain period of time for, and as a matter of law, but again I'm not a lawyer. That there's an obligation to provide notice to customers so that they can transition off of the system.
 - Q. And what is your understanding of what that notice period is?
- A. I believe -- notice document I believe we have -- I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?
- Q. Do you know what the notice period is?
 - A. As a statute or in the contract?
- 23 O. Both.

3

4

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

A. I don't know what it is as a statute. I

believe the requirement in the contract is 35 1 2 days.

- Ο. If the buyer or Winstar were required to provide service for longer than 35 days, would the buyer be responsible for the payment of all expenses incurred by Winstar in providing that service?
- Winstar is not providing any service. 8 Α. Oh, I'm sorry. 9
- 10 Maybe there -- actually since they still have licenses. My understanding is until 11 the buyer rejects the contract, they are obligated 13 to pay amounts due under those contracts.
- 14 Q. And is it your understanding that the 15 buyer could not reject the contract prior to the 16 end of any required notice period?
- 17 I actually don't know how to answer that 18 question.
- 19 Now, are you aware of whether there were -- strike that. 2.0
 - Are you aware of any order that was entered into among the Debtor and Verizon with respect to adequate assurance of payment?
- 24 Α. I'm not.

3

4

6

7

12

21

22

Q. You weren't aware, for example, that
there was a stipulation in the order that was
entered by this Court that required a prepayment
semimonthly to Verizon.

- A. I don't recall specifically that quarter stipulation.
- Q. Were you aware at any point in time of whether any carrier gave any notice of discontinuation of service to Winstar prior to today?
- A. I believe there was a termination from
 Williams sometime ago, but that's the only one
 I've heard of. And I haven't seen that
 termination notice.
- Q. Were you aware of a termination notice that was sent to the Debtor by Verizon?
- 17 A. I was not.
- Q. Do you have any reason to believe that
 the Debtor didn't receive termination notice from
 Verizon?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. Did you negotiate any of the specific provisions in the order with respect to this sale that was circulated to various parties?

```
I was a participant in virtually all
1
       Α.
2
   discussions associated with the asset purchase
3
   agreement, the management agreement, and the
   order.
4
                                I have no further
5
                  MR. LADDIN:
6
   questions.
                  MR. PALACIO:
                                 Good afternoon, Your
7
8
   Honor.
           Ricardo Palacio of Ashby & Geddes. At the
9
   outset, I'd note that I'm here as local counsel of
   Williams Communication and I'm also here as
10
   counsel to Time Warner Telecom.
11
12
   BY MR. PALACIO:
13
        ο.
             Mr. Feuerabendt? I apologize.
             Feuerabendt.
14
        Α.
15
             Sorry. I apologize.
        Ο.
16
                  I want to briefly revisit testimony
   you gave in connection with the examination
17
18
    conducted by counsel for Univance.
19
    specifically I want to ask you, again, and please
20
    put in your words, was or excuse me, is
    Paragraph 23 of the proposed order, which provides
21
22
    that there will be that 120-day transition period
23
    such that carriers have to provide the service
24
    under the same terms and continues as set forth in
```

1 current agreements?

- A. Mm-hmm.
- Q. Would you say that was a critical component of the deal?
 - A. Yes.

5

- Q. So in other words, this is something that benefited the buyers?
 - A. It facilitated the transaction.
- 9 Q. Let me see if I can clarify the
 10 question. By having that in place, does it
 11 benefit the buyer?
- A. It permits the transaction to go
 forward. I don't believe they would have done
 this transaction.
- 15 I'll let them speak for themselves

 16 by but than do think they would have done that

 17 transaction if that provision had not been there.
- 18 Q. Let's try to get there.
- A. If it benefits the buyers at the end of the day -- we'll find out if this transaction benefits the buyer and this will facilitate the transaction.
- Q. Is it safe to say without it, the deal wouldn't go through?

1 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

1.0

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Conversely, under the proposed order, the buyers, not the Debtors, are on the hook for any obligations arising under those agreements;

- A. That is my understanding.
- Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, under these agreements you not only get the benefit of them, but you also have the obligations they're under as well?
- 11 A. The buyer does, yes.

MR. PALACIO: Thank you.

MR. SHAPIRO: Your Honor,

Ms. Newell from the FCC just wanted me to let everyone know for the record that a last minute negotiation that she had with the buyers on the management agreement requires the buyers to continue to fund all costs for the remainder of 31 days or so long as the FCC shall require it.

She thought that was relevant to the entire line of inquiry that people were asking about in terms of how long the buyer will fund because she negotiated that with the buyer and she wanted that to be known on the record.

All right. Thank you. 1 THE COURT: 2 MR. KIZEL: Your Honor, I'm not sure 3 what the procedure is here, but I do have some clarifications and counsel for the Debtor, I think 5 just qualified one of the main issues that we did 6 But I don't want to burn the record and ask 7 the witness questions if we'll have opportunity at 8 a later point to perhaps clarify what exactly is 9 going to happen under the management agreement and 10 asset purchase agreement, which we just had opportunity to review the last couple of hours. 11 12 I'm not sure, I might mention I'm 13 Paul Kizel, K-I-Z-E-L, counsel for AT&T. Again, 14 we haven't taken a position yet. We just got the 15 documents, and again, I think there are some issued areas of clarification that I would like to 16 17 have known, so we can make an important decision. 18 We haven't taken a position one way or the other, 19 but I don't necessarily have questions for the 20 witness. 21 He may not be the person who can 22 best answer the questions, so I don't want to do 23 that if I'll have an opportunity later on to ask 24 questions perhaps of Debtor's counsel or counsel

1 for the purchaser. THE COURT: Or a representative of 3 the buyer. MR. KIZEL: That's correct. All right. Thank you. 5 THE COURT: 6 BY MS. IORII: Good afternoon. Regina Iorii from 7 0. 8 Ashby & Geddes for Fleet Capital Corporation. And 9 I'm sorry, Mr. Feuerabendt? 10 I'm sorry. Everybody seems to be doing it. 11 Α. 12 Q. It's a long name? 13 Feuerabendt. Α. I'm sorry. Mr. Feuerabendt, I just have 14 Ο. 15 a couple of questions. 16 You said that substantially all of 17 the assets are being sold in this corporate 18 transaction to the new company to be formed. 19 What specific assets are being sold? 20 There is not a list of specific assets that I'm aware of. There is a list of excluded 21 22 assets that the buyer is not buying. 23 And all other assets of the Debtors 24 other than those excluded assets are being

 $4\,4$

1 acquired.

6

7

8

9

- Q. All right. What are you considering as the assets?
- A. Anything that the Debtor owns right now other than the excluded asset.
 - Q. Let me perhaps be a little more specific. My client, Fleet Capital Corporation, leases switches to Winstar.
 - A. Mm-hmm.
 - Q. Are they being considered assets?
- 11 A. I don't know.
- Q. Is there going to be, at any time, a list of the specific assets that are being transferred in this transaction?
- 15 A. Not to my knowledge.
- MS. IORII: Thank you.
- 17 THE COURT: Any other questions for
- 18 | this witness?
- MR. GWYNNE: Thank you, Your
- 20 | Honor. Kurt Gwynne on behalf of MCI Worldcom.
- 21 BY MR. GWYNNE:
- Q. I'll try to be brief and not repeat too
 much of prior counsel's questions, but there's one
 area in particular I need some clarification on.

```
You testified that the Debtors' burn
 1
    rate was approximately 8.8 monthly, a month; is
 2
    that correct?
 3
             I didn't say 8.8, I said it was a range.
 4
        Α.
    The company's forecast was a range of different
 5
    numbers. I've seen as low as five. I've seen as
 6
 7
    high as ten.
                  And I said, you know, reasonable
 8
    probability was about eight.
 9
             What was Winstar's burn rate in October
1.0
        ο.
    of 2001?
11
             I don't know the answer to that.
12
        Α.
13
             What was Winstar's burn rate in November
    of 2001?
14
             I don't have the answer to that.
15
        Α.
             How can you determine whether the
16
    forecast are accurate if you don't know what the
17
    historical burn rate has been?
1.8
19
             I just don't recall sitting here.
                                                  I've
20
    looked at company's numbers. And I've seen
    receipts on the order of $20 million a month.
21
22
                  And I've seen costs that would imply
23
    that they could get to an eight million burn rate
24
    by January. Again, those are the company's
```

```
1
   numbers.
              I'm not making any representations
2
   whether those are accurate or not, I'm just
    telling you what I'm basing my $8 million number
3
 4
   on.
5
             Okay. So aside from not making any
        0.
6
    representations about whether your statements
 7
    about the burn rate are accurate, you don't know
    what the Debtors' historical burn rate has been to
 8
    date?
9
10
        Α.
             I don't recall sitting here today exactly
11
    what that was.
             And the Debtors' historical burn rate,
12
        ο.
13
    which you're not aware of, probably doesn't
14
    include payments that weren't made to the
15
    utilities; correct?
                  In other words, if the Debtors' burn
16
17
    rate for September was 10 million, cash burn rate,
18
    that obviously doesn't include --
19
        Α.
             Yeah.
20
             -- payments that should have been made
21
    but were not made; correct?
22
             I think that the numbers that I looked at
23
    were actual, actual numbers of what the company
    paid, et cetera. It didn't include accruals for
24
```

people. They did not pay the \$8 million I was referring to. That was on a cash basis assuming everybody was paid currently.

- Q. Well, it was on a cash basis regardless of who was paid currently; right?
- A. I'm saying that the forecast that I've seen is on a cash basis, and people were assumed to have been paid currently.
- Q. But you don't know whether the forecast is reasonable with respect to the historical burn rate because you don't know what that is; right?
- A. I have looked at the company's numbers.

 Again, I'm not going to make any representations

 about the company's forecast. I can tell you what

 I've seen.

There are a number of parties that have been involved in looking at and preparing, opining, et cetera on the forecasts including the chief infrastructure officer of the company, and I'm not going to claim to be an expert in what the future cash burn of the company would be, nor could I say with any degree of certainty what the future cash burn of the buyer would be.

Q. Okay. So you don't know if the burn rate

4.8

is going to be 10 or \$15 million a month? 1 I don't believe it's going to be greater 2 than what the Debtors had expected to pay. 3 Now, and that's approximately eight or Ο. 5 nine million a month? I'm using an \$8 million number as a 6 7 reasonable, 8 to \$10 million. 8 0. Now, yesterday in court, do you remember a representative from the purchaser represented to 9 10 the Court that one of the reasons IDT was a good 11 purchaser is because it had one billion, I 12 believe, in cash and one billion in assets, 13 something along those lines? 14 Α. Right. 15 Ο. IDT is not, in fact, the purchaser, 16 though, is it? No, but it's making a substantial 17 18 investment which it can't recuperate because there 19 are no out for them. And I have dealt with them, 20 they seem to be very intelligent business people. 21 And I don't think they're going to 22 invest \$70 million of cash and never get it back. 23 And you know without seriously looking at what 24 they're trying to do here and making a calculation

5

6

18

19

20

21

22

23

```
that they would have to put in more or not put in
more, I don't think they're going to make that
decision.
```

- Q. Now, this new entity that was formed was just created in the last couple of days; is that correct?
- A. I believe it was formed within the last week, yes.
- Q. Okay. And the only capitalization of that entity are the funds that are going to be paid then under the sale agreement; is that correct?
- A. That's my source. That's my
 understanding that's that the only source
 contemplated by this agreement, I don't know what
 their intention is with regard to future
 capitalization.
 - Q. So if it's only what's provided in the sale agreement, there's only going to be \$30 million to pay carriers prior to what's called the cutoff date under the management agreement; is that correct?
 - A. Under the management agreement, that's correct.

Q. And under the management agreement, the cutoff date is determined to be 35 days after the purchaser decides and its discretion to send out notices to the customers; correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, if the purchaser decides to send out notices of termination to the customers, a potential effect of that could be some customers decide to go somewhere else; right?
- 10 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. So would you agree that the purchaser may not have an incentive to send out those notices particularly any time soon?
- A. I don't understand that logic.
- Q. If sending out the notices could cause customers to go elsewhere, the purchaser may not be inclined to send out those notices; correct?
 - A. I don't understand the statement.
- Q. Under the management agreement, the purchaser, you agree the purchaser decides when to send out these notices to the customer; correct?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And if the purchaser never sends them out, then we never get to the cutoff date